



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/507,053	08/04/2005	Nobuo Ishii	157905-7001(P007)	8494
7590 Irell & Manella Suite 400 840 Newport Center Drive Newport Beach, CA 92660			EXAMINER DHINGRA, RAKESH KUMAR	
			ART UNIT 1792	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 02/12/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/507,053

Applicant(s)

ISHII ET AL.

Examiner

RAKESH K. DHINGRA

Art Unit

1792

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) RAKESH K. DHINGRA.

(3) _____.

(2) BEN YORKS.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 07 February 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Otsubo (US 4,985,109) and Ishii(US 2004/0045674).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claim limitation pertaining to length of slots vs prior art disclosure was discussed. Since claim does not specify the peripheral and intermediate portions of the antenna surface, applicant would discuss with client regarding possible claim amendments. Examiner informed that further search and consideration would be necessary, as and when amended claims are presented.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Rakesh K Dhingra/
Examiner, Art Unit 1792

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.